



**LAND MANAGEMENT, ENVIRONMENT & SOLID-WASTE:
INSIDE EDUCATION AND BUSINESS IN CENTRAL ASIA
ERASMUS-EDU-2023-CBHE Project Number: 101129032**

MICRO-CREDENTIAL

**CIRCULAR ECONOMY FOR LAND AND WASTE
MANAGEMENT**

**13.- Assessment Tools and Indicators of Circular
Economy**

***Measuring Circular Economy Performance
PDF W13***



Co-funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

INDEX

1. SUMMARY OF KEY IDEAS	3
2. LEARNING OBJECTIVES.....	3
3. INTRODUCTION.....	3
4. CE INDICATORS	4
5. LAND AND WASTE SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS.....	5
6. LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT BASICS.....	6
7. DATA CHALLENGES.....	8
8. CONCLUSIONS.....	9
9. REFERENCES.....	9

1. SUMMARY OF KEY IDEAS

- The circular economy focuses on minimizing waste, maximizing resource efficiency, and maintaining the value of products and materials for as long as possible.
- Assessment tools and indicators are used to measure how effectively circular economy principles are implemented.
- These tools evaluate resource use, recycling rates, product life cycles, and environmental impacts.
- Common indicators include material efficiency, waste reduction, recycling rates, carbon footprint, and resource productivity.
- Assessment frameworks help governments, businesses, and organizations monitor progress and make informed decisions.
- Accurate measurement is essential for achieving sustainability goals and improving circular practices.

Sustainable land use aims to balance economic development with environmental protection

2. LEARNING OBJECTIVES

By studying this topic, learners should be able to:

1. Understand the concept of the circular economy.
2. Identify key tools used to assess circular economy performance.
3. Explain important indicators such as resource efficiency and waste reduction.
4. Analyze how measurement supports sustainable decision-making.
5. Evaluate the role of policies and frameworks in promoting circular economy practices.

3. INTRODUCTION

The concept of the circular economy has emerged as a sustainable alternative to the traditional linear economic model of “take, make, dispose.” It emphasizes reducing waste, reusing materials, and recycling resources to create a closed-loop system. To ensure that circular economy strategies are effective, it is important to measure and assess their performance using appropriate tools and indicators.

Assessment tools help track progress, identify inefficiencies, and guide improvements in resource management. These tools are widely used by policymakers, businesses, and researchers to evaluate environmental, economic, and social outcomes. Understanding these tools and indicators is crucial for transitioning toward a more sustainable and resource-efficient economy.

4. CE INDICATORS

A comprehensive exploration of the tools, frameworks, and indicators driving the global transition to a circular economy — and how we measure progress along the way.

Land use describes the **human activities and purposes** for which a parcel of land is utilized. It encompasses the benefits derived from land and the management actions humans undertake to shape and maintain it.

Before we can transform the global economy, we must understand it — through rigorous, consistent, and meaningful metrics.

The global economy remains overwhelmingly linear — resources are extracted, transformed into products, and ultimately discarded. This "take-make-dispose" model is accelerating resource depletion and environmental degradation at an unsustainable pace. Transitioning to a circular economy is no longer optional; it is essential for long-term sustainability and planetary health.

Conventional measures like GDP and waste tonnage focus on throughput and endpoints, ignoring the loops, value retention, and regenerative potential at the heart of circular thinking.

CE measurement must capture resource loops, material efficiency, product longevity, and system-level environmental impact — across supply chains and geographies.

The visual contrast between a linear "take-make-dispose" system and a circular model of interconnected resource loops illustrates why our measurement tools must fundamentally evolve. Every loop closed represents value retained — and that value must be quantified.

A new generation of assessment instruments is equipping organizations with the means to measure, report, and improve circular performance.

Measures how efficiently materials and energy are used across the value chain, minimizing virgin resource inputs.

CTI v4.0 sits at the core of the **Global Circularity Protocol (GCP)**, ensuring consistent and comparable measurement across businesses worldwide, supporting unified sustainability goal-setting.

The **European Circular Economy Stakeholder Platform (ECESP)** offers a structured Circularity Assessment Tool designed to help organizations evaluate their current circular performance and identify pathways for improvement. It provides a measurable baseline score and connects users to proven CE frameworks and real-world case studies.

The CTI Tool transforms raw operational data into structured circularity insights — enabling companies to move from awareness to targeted action. By connecting measurement directly to scenario planning and reporting, it bridges the gap between intent and implementation across the circular economy transition.

The next wave of CE indicators is being shaped by European Commission research, systemic thinking, and the power of digital innovation.

From city-level urban metabolism to transnational material flow accounting, systemic CE indicators reveal the hidden flows of resources, waste, and embedded value that shape our economies. Building the data infrastructure to capture this complexity is one of the defining challenges of the decade ahead.

Indigenous land rights remain especially vulnerable. Despite international frameworks like the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, ancestral territories are frequently **overlooked, encroached upon, or seized** for commercial development.

5. LAND AND WASTE SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS

Exploring the assessment tools and indicators that measure our progress toward a truly circular, regenerative economic model — and why they matter now more than ever.

Our current economic model is extracting far more from the planet than it can replenish. This chapter examines the scale of the crisis — from runaway resource consumption to the interconnected planetary emergencies threatening human civilization.

By 2050, if current trends continue, global consumption will require the equivalent of **three planets'** worth of resources to sustain. This is not a distant hypothetical — it is a trajectory we are already on. The dominant linear model of *"take, make, use, dispose"* systematically pushes humanity beyond planetary boundaries, depleting finite resources faster than nature can regenerate them. Oceans, soils, forests, and freshwater systems are all showing the strain. A fundamental rethinking of how we produce and consume is no longer optional — it is existential.

Every policy decision, every production process, and every consumption choice either moves us toward regeneration or deeper into crisis. The indicators and tools explored in this presentation help us understand exactly where we stand — and how far we still need to go.

Moving beyond the extractive linear model, the circular economy offers a systems-level solution — one that redesigns the way value is created, preserved, and returned to productive use. This chapter examines what circularity means in practice and who is leading the charge.

The difference between 12% and 24% represents tens of billions of tons of material that could be kept in productive use rather than extracted anew or landfilled. Doubling the rate would significantly reduce the EU's dependence on imported raw materials, lower industrial greenhouse gas emissions, and create new green jobs across the recycling and remanufacturing sectors.

The circular economy is not merely about recycling — it is a fundamental redesign of the entire economic system. Production, consumption, reuse, repair, and recycling are all integrated into a seamless, regenerative cycle that eliminates the very concept of waste.

You cannot manage what you cannot measure. This chapter dives into the core SDG indicators that track municipal solid waste, food systems, and land use — forming the empirical backbone of any credible circular economy strategy.

Across the world, cities vary enormously in their capacity to collect and safely manage municipal solid waste. High-income cities in Europe and North America typically manage over 90% of their MSW in controlled facilities, while many cities in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia still struggle with collection rates below 50% — with significant open dumping and informal waste handling. Bridging this gap is central to achieving sustainable urbanization and protecting public health globally.

As circular economy ambitions grow more sophisticated, so must the tools used to measure them. This chapter explores the next generation of indicators — from material footprint accounting to hazardous waste governance — that are shaping the future of sustainability assessment.

Measures the **total mass of materials directly used** within a national economy — raw materials extracted domestically plus imports, minus exports. Reported as total, per capita, and per GDP. DMC is the most widely reported material use indicator and forms the basis for tracking progress on SDG Target 12.2: sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources.

Tracks the **total raw material extraction** attributed to a country's final demand — including imports and exports. Reported as an absolute figure, per capita, and per unit of GDP. The material footprint reflects a nation's true resource consumption regardless of where production physically occurs, making it a more accurate accountability tool than production-based metrics alone.

Sophisticated monitoring systems are transforming how policymakers, businesses, and civil society track circular economy progress. Real-time dashboards integrating material flow data, waste treatment statistics, and SDG reporting streams allow for dynamic, evidence-based decision-making. The European Environment Agency, Eurostat, and national statistical offices are investing heavily in digital infrastructure to make these indicators accessible, comparable, and actionable — moving sustainability from aspiration to measurable accountability.

As circular economy ambitions grow more sophisticated, so must the tools used to measure them. This chapter explores the next generation of indicators — from material footprint accounting to hazardous waste governance — that are shaping the future of sustainability assessment.

6. LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT BASICS

Tools for a Sustainable Future — exploring how standardized methodologies and circularity metrics drive smarter, resource-efficient decision-making.

A deep dive into the foundational methodology that quantifies environmental impacts across a product's entire journey — from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal.

LCA is governed by ISO 14040 and ISO 14044, providing a globally recognized framework to evaluate the environmental impacts of a product system throughout its entire life cycle — from "cradle to grave."

The JRC provides LCA practitioners with comprehensive handbooks, databases, and methodological guidance, acting as the scientific backbone for EU environmental policy and product sustainability legislation.

The International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) handbook offers detailed guidance on conducting high-quality LCA studies, ensuring consistency, transparency, and scientific rigor across industries and sectors.

As circular economy principles gain global momentum, the need for robust, standardized tools to measure and verify circularity performance has never been greater.

As the global transition to a circular economy accelerates, organizations, governments, and investors require reliable, quantifiable evidence of circularity performance. Without robust assessment tools, claims of "circularity" risk becoming vague or misleading greenwashing.

Bringing LCA and Circularity Assessment together unlocks a more complete, nuanced picture of sustainability — bridging environmental performance with circularity measurement for truly informed decision-making.

LCA and Circularity Assessment are powerful individually, but combining them addresses their complementary blind spots. LCA excels at quantifying environmental impacts across life cycle stages, while CA measures the degree to which a system keeps materials and products in circulation. Together, they provide a holistic view that neither tool can achieve alone.

Circularity indicators are incorporated directly into the four-phase ISO 14040 structure — most commonly within the Inventory Analysis and Interpretation phases — allowing circularity metrics to complement standard environmental impact results.

The LCA methodology is adapted to explicitly model circular design strategies such as remanufacturing, cascaded material use, and closed-loop recycling, enabling designers to compare the environmental performance of different circularity scenarios.

The circular economy concept is interpreted differently across disciplines, industries, and geographies. Without a shared definition, comparing or combining assessments becomes methodologically inconsistent and can lead to contradictory findings.

Many existing integration studies focus overwhelmingly on environmental impacts, systematically neglecting the equally important social and economic dimensions of sustainability. This creates an incomplete picture that may overlook critical trade-offs.

Most assessments remain at the individual product or company level, failing to capture the systemic, value-chain-wide insights necessary for truly transformative policy and business decisions. Scaling to sector or economy-wide analysis remains a major research challenge.

7. DATA CHALLENGES

How do we know if the circular economy is actually working? This presentation explores the critical landscape of measurement frameworks, assessment tools, and indicators that help businesses and policymakers track, benchmark, and accelerate the transition to a circular world.

Before we can transform the economy, we must be able to see it clearly. This chapter examines why rigorous, reliable measurement is the essential first step on the road to circularity.

Without clear, consistent metrics, progress in the circular economy remains invisible and ultimately unmanageable. What cannot be measured cannot be improved — and the deeply entrenched "take, make, waste" linear model will persist by default.

- **Businesses** need quantifiable data to guide strategy, justify investment, and communicate progress to stakeholders.
- **Policymakers** require robust indicators to assess regulatory effectiveness and set science-based targets.
- **Investors** demand transparent reporting to identify circular opportunities and manage ESG risk.

The challenge of measuring circularity is not simply a technical one — it is a systemic one. Circular value chains span industries, geographies, and time horizons. The goal of good measurement is to cut through that complexity and reveal the clear path forward.

Understanding the historical development and current architecture of circularity measurement frameworks — from early material-flow indicators to today's comprehensive monitoring systems.

The first generation of circularity indicators focused primarily on material flows, waste reduction, and resource efficiency — tracking the most visible and quantifiable aspects of the linear-to-circular shift.

Key metrics included waste generation rates, recycling rates, and material productivity ratios. These provided an accessible starting point for national and corporate reporting.

Effective circular economy assessment demands moving beyond linear input-output metrics. True circularity is a systems property — it emerges from the interaction of design choices, business models, material flows, and enabling infrastructure working together in concert.

A deep look at the most widely used corporate-level assessment tools — who developed them, what they measure, and how companies are putting them to work to drive circularity performance.

Developed by the WBCSD, CTI v4.0 is a universal, quantitative framework built to speak the language of corporate decision-makers — practical, comparable, and action-oriented.

Empowers companies to identify financial value in circular initiatives, advance sustainability commitments, and strengthen both internal and external reporting credibility.

Beyond general-purpose frameworks, a new generation of specialized tools addresses specific measurement needs — from product-level circularity scoring to comprehensive indicator libraries for researchers and policymakers.

The Raw Materials Information System offers a comprehensive database of data profiles on critical and strategic raw materials, covering supply risk, import dependency, trade flows, and emerging substitutes.

The tools exist. The frameworks are maturing. But significant challenges remain — and exciting innovations are emerging. This final chapter looks honestly at where the measurement ecosystem stands today, and where it must go next.

A plan without implementation is just a document. This chapter explores how land use visions are translated into tangible action — through phased development processes, smart growth tools, community participation, and the ongoing monitoring that keeps plans relevant over time.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Assessment tools and indicators play a vital role in advancing the circular economy by providing measurable insights into resource use and environmental impact. They help identify inefficiencies, support policy development, and guide businesses toward more sustainable practices.

However, challenges remain in standardizing indicators and ensuring data availability and accuracy. Continued development of assessment methods and international cooperation are essential to improve measurement systems. By effectively using these tools, societies can accelerate the transition to a circular economy and achieve long-term sustainability.

9. REFERENCES

1. Ellen MacArthur Foundation

Circular Economy Resources and Indicators

 <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/>

2. European Environment Agency

Circular Economy Monitoring Framework

 <https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/circular-economy>

3. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

Resource Efficiency and Circular Economy

 <https://www.oecd.org/environment/waste/>

4. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

Sustainable Resource Management

 <https://www.unep.org/resources>

5. Circular Economy: A Wealth of Flows by Ken Webster

 <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy-a-wealth-of-flows>