



**LAND MANAGEMENT, ENVIRONMENT & SOLID-WASTE:
INSIDE EDUCATION AND BUSINESS IN CENTRAL ASIA
ERASMUS-EDU-2023-CBHE Project Number: 101129032**

MICRO-CREDENTIAL

**CIRCULAR ECONOMY FOR LAND AND WASTE
MANAGEMENT**

6.- Recycling and Material Recovery

Discussion

Is recycling always the best circular solution?



Co-funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Objective

The objective of this discussion is to help students critically evaluate the role of recycling within the circular economy and understand that recycling is only one of several possible circular strategies.

Students should consider whether recycling is always the environmentally preferable option, or whether prevention, reduction, reuse, repair, refurbishment, remanufacturing or redesign may sometimes provide greater benefits.

The discussion should encourage students to move beyond the simple idea that **more recycling is always better**. Instead, they should evaluate material quality, energy demand, water consumption, transport, contamination, residual waste, land use and the possibility of keeping products in use for longer before recycling becomes necessary.

Discussion prompt

Is recycling always the best circular solution?

Students should discuss whether recycling should always be prioritised when managing products and materials, or whether other circular economy strategies may offer greater environmental, social or economic benefits.

The discussion should consider the full material life cycle and not focus only on what happens after a product becomes waste.

Initial post

Write **250–400 words** addressing the following questions:

1. Why is recycling important in a circular economy?
2. What environmental impacts can recycling itself create?
3. When might prevention be preferable to recycling?
4. When might reuse or repair be preferable?
5. Can recycling sometimes cause environmental burden shifting?
6. How do contamination and material quality affect recycling performance?
7. What role do energy demand, water use and transport distance play?
8. How should land-use impacts be considered?
9. Can a technically recyclable product still be environmentally undesirable?

10. Which circular strategy should generally be considered before recycling?

Arguments supporting recycling

Students may argue that recycling is essential because it can reduce demand for virgin raw materials, lower pressure on mining and quarrying, decrease landfill disposal and recover valuable materials.

For some material streams, recycling provides particularly strong environmental benefits. Metal recycling, for example, can avoid substantial impacts associated with mineral extraction and primary production. Recycling glass, paper and construction materials can also reduce demand for virgin resources and landfill capacity.

In regions where waste disposal is poorly controlled, increasing recycling may also reduce open dumping, uncontrolled burning and groundwater contamination risks.

However, these benefits depend on collection efficiency, material purity, local infrastructure, processing technology and market demand for secondary materials.

Arguments against recycling as the automatic first option

Students may argue that recycling should not automatically be considered the best circular solution because recycling still requires collection, transport, sorting, processing, energy, water and infrastructure.

Some products could instead be avoided, reused, repaired, shared, refurbished or remanufactured. These options may preserve more of the original value embedded in the product.

For example:

- A reusable glass bottle may provide greater circular value than crushing and remelting it after one use.
- Repairing an electronic device may avoid the energy and material losses associated with recycling it.
- Preventing unnecessary packaging may be better than improving the recycling rate of that packaging.
- Reusing construction components may preserve more value than crushing them into low-grade aggregate.

Therefore, recycling should often be seen as a solution for materials that can no longer reasonably remain in use, rather than as the first circular option.

The role of the waste hierarchy

The waste hierarchy provides a useful decision framework. In general, higher-value strategies should be considered before recycling:

1. Prevention.
2. Reduction.
3. Reuse.
4. Repair.
5. Refurbishment or remanufacturing.
6. Recycling.
7. Recovery.
8. Disposal.

This hierarchy reflects the fact that keeping a product or material in its original function usually preserves more embedded energy, labour and material value than breaking it down and reprocessing it.

However, the hierarchy should not be applied mechanically. Local conditions, product characteristics and environmental performance must still be considered.

Examples for discussion

Example 1. Plastic beverage bottle

Possible strategies include:

- avoiding single-use packaging;
- using refillable bottles;
- reusing the bottle;
- mechanical recycling;
- chemical recycling;
- energy recovery;
- disposal.

A good circular strategy should consider how many times the bottle can be reused, the transport distance, washing requirements, polymer quality and recycling infrastructure.

Example 2. Electronic device

Possible strategies include:

- extending product life;
- repair;
- software support;
- component replacement;
- refurbishment;
- remanufacturing;
- material recycling.

Recycling should usually occur only when continued use, repair or refurbishment is no longer technically or economically reasonable.

Example 3. Food waste

Possible strategies include:

- preventing food waste;
- redistributing edible food;
- using suitable residues as animal feed where safe;
- anaerobic digestion;
- composting;
- energy recovery;
- disposal.

Preventing edible food waste generally preserves more value than recycling it after it becomes waste.

Example 4. Construction material

Possible strategies include:

- designing for long life;
- maintaining the building;
- adapting the building for new use;

- reusing components;
- recovering bricks, metals or timber;
- crushing concrete into secondary aggregate.

Direct reuse may preserve more value than material recycling.

Balanced position

A balanced answer should recognise that recycling is an essential circular strategy, but not always the best one.

Table 1. When recycling may or may not be the preferred circular option.

Situation	Preferred circular strategy	Reason
Product can still be used safely	Reuse	Preserves product value and avoids new production
Product can be repaired	Repair	Extends lifetime with limited additional resource use
Product contains replaceable components	Refurbishment or remanufacturing	Retains much of the original product
Unnecessary product or packaging	Prevention or redesign	Avoids resource use from the beginning
Material is clean and no longer reusable	Recycling	Recovers secondary raw materials
Material is heavily contaminated	Case-specific treatment	Recycling may require excessive processing or generate pollution
Recycling requires very high energy or transport	Life-cycle comparison	Another option may have lower total impacts
Material cannot be economically recovered	Controlled recovery or disposal	Prevents unsafe or inefficient recycling

The central principle is that **recycling should be evaluated within a broader hierarchy of circular strategies, rather than treated as the automatic solution for every waste stream.**

Guiding questions for deeper discussion

Students may also consider:

- Is a high recycling rate always evidence of a successful circular economy?
- Should companies be allowed to market highly recyclable single-use products as circular?

- Can recycling create a false sense that consumption levels do not need to change?
- Who should be responsible for designing products that are easier to repair and reuse?
- Should governments prioritise investment in recycling infrastructure or waste prevention?
- How can local conditions change the preferred circular strategy?
- What role should life-cycle assessment play?
- How can circular strategies reduce land pressure from mining, landfills and infrastructure?

Peer response

Reply to at least one classmate in **150–200 words**.

Your response should include:

1. One argument you agree with.
2. One trade-off your classmate may have underestimated.
3. One alternative circular strategy that should be considered before or alongside recycling.
4. One example from your region, workplace or community.

Suggested discussion positions

Students may defend one of the following positions:

Position A. Recycling should remain a major priority

Because many materials cannot realistically be reused indefinitely, recycling is essential for reducing raw-material extraction and landfill disposal.

Position B. Prevention and reuse should be prioritised over recycling

Because recycling still consumes energy, water and infrastructure, circular economy systems should focus first on avoiding waste and extending product life.

Position C. There is no universal hierarchy without context

The best solution depends on the material, contamination level, product design, available infrastructure, transport distance, market conditions and total life-cycle impact.

The strongest discussion contributions will explain **why** a particular circular strategy is preferable in a specific context.

Assessment criteria

Criterion	Description
Critical reasoning	Student avoids assuming that recycling is always automatically preferable
Understanding of circular hierarchy	Prevention, reuse, repair and recycling are correctly distinguished
Trade-off analysis	Energy, water, transport, land and residual waste are considered
Use of examples	Arguments are supported with relevant examples
Life-cycle thinking	Student considers impacts beyond the recycling facility
SLM connection	Discussion links material management with land, resource extraction and pollution
Peer engagement	Response to another student is constructive and technically relevant

Expected learning outcome

After completing this discussion, students should understand that recycling is an important component of the circular economy, but not always the most sustainable circular strategy.

They should be able to compare recycling with prevention, reuse, repair, refurbishment and remanufacturing, and recognise that the preferred option depends on environmental performance, material quality, infrastructure, economic feasibility and local conditions.

The key lesson is:

The most circular solution is not necessarily the one that recycles the most material, but the one that preserves the greatest value while minimising resource use, pollution, land pressure and environmental burden shifting.