LESLIE
LAND MANAGEMENT
ENVIRONMENT &
SOLID-WASTE

LAND MANAGEMENT, ENVIRONMENT & SOLID-WASTE: INSIDE EDUCATION AND BUSINESS IN CENTRAL ASIA

ERASMUS-EDU-2023-CBHE Project Number: 101129032

MICRO-CREDENTIAL

CLIMATE CHANGE FOR SUSTAINABLE LAND MANAGEMENT IN CENTRAL ASIA

6.- Agriculture, Food Security and Rural Livelihoods

Discussion

How can farmers adopt climate-smart practices when credit and information are limited?



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Discussion prompt

Many climate-smart agricultural practices require knowledge, labour, coordination or investment. However, farmers in semi-arid rural areas often face limited access to credit, weak extension services, uncertain markets and incomplete climate information.

Discuss the following question:

How can farmers adopt climate-smart practices when credit and information are limited?

Initial post

Write a contribution of 250–400 words addressing the following points:

1. Which climate-smart practices can be adopted with low financial investment?
2. Which practices require credit, subsidies or collective investment?
3. What role can extension services, farmer groups or cooperatives play?
4. How can local knowledge be combined with scientific climate information?
5. What barriers may prevent adoption even when the practice is technically useful?
6. What support should governments, universities, NGOs or private companies provide?

Issues to consider

Students may consider:

- Mulching.
- Crop residue retention.
- Crop rotation.
- Drought-tolerant varieties.
- Adjusted planting dates.
- Farmer field schools.
- Demonstration plots.
- Group purchasing of inputs.
- Community seed banks.
- Microcredit.
- Weather advisories.
- Mobile phone information services.
- Cooperative irrigation management.

- Shared machinery.
- Women's access to training and finance.
- Land tenure insecurity.
- Market access.
- Risk perception.
- Trust in institutions.
- Cost of failure during experimentation.

Peer response

Reply to at least one classmate.

In your response:

1. Identify one point where you agree.
2. Add one additional barrier or enabling factor.
3. Compare their proposal with your own local or regional context.
4. Suggest one practical way to improve adoption under low-budget conditions.

Suggested discussion angles

Farmer perspective

Focus on risk, income, labour, land tenure, experience and trust.

Extension perspective

Focus on training, demonstration plots, farmer-to-farmer learning and climate advisories.

Financial perspective

Focus on microcredit, subsidies, insurance, cooperatives and shared investment.

Policy perspective

Focus on public support, input markets, irrigation governance, land policy and rural infrastructure.

Gender and social inclusion perspective

Focus on whether women, young farmers and poorer households have equal access to knowledge, land, finance and decision-making.

Expected learning outcome

After the discussion, students should be able to explain why the adoption of climate-smart agriculture depends not only on technology, but also on finance, information, institutions, risk perception and local capacity.

Students should also be able to distinguish between low-cost practices that can be promoted immediately and practices that require stronger financial, technical or institutional support.